



Supplement 2

*Extended Index of Additional Readings
Related to Recreation Fees and the
USDA-Forest Service's Recreational Fee
Demonstration Program*

April 29, 2002


Final Report Prepared By:

Peter Williams
Justin Black


Submitted To:

Recreation, Heritage, and Wilderness Program
USDA Forest Service, Washington Office





This page intentionally left blank.



Supplement 2

Extended Index of Additional Readings Related to Recreation Fees and the USDA-Forest Service's Recreational Fee Demonstration Program

Summary

This document is a supplement intended for use with Issues and Concerns Related to the USDA Forest Service's Recreational Fee Demonstration Program. It allows those who seek additional information about the USDA-Forest Service's Recreation Fee Demonstration program and fees in general to find relevant sources of information quickly and easily. This index has two primary sections. The first contains parenthetical references presented by subject for ease of reference and the second contains all cited sources arranged alphabetically. The subjects parallel those found in the Issues and Concerns document. A specific index of the most relevant sources appears in the related document entitled Supplement 1: Short Index of Readings Related to Recreation Fees and the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program.

A primary source for this project has been an annotated bibliography completed in 1999 by staff of the USDA Forest Service's Rocky Mountain Research Station. It contains descriptions of 294 research publications related to recreation fees or the Recreational Fee Demonstration program and published between 1963 and 1999. That document is currently an unpublished reference resource available electronically and publicly on the Internet at [Recreation Fee Bibliography - Wildland Resource Valuation](http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/value/research-recfee_bibliog.html) or through the following direct address: (http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/value/research-recfee_bibliog.html).

Other significant sources include Congressional Hearing testimony, annual agency reports submitted to Congress, reports related to Individual Recreation Fee Demonstration program pilot projects, and reports compiled by the General Accounting Office and Congressional Research Service. The Forest Service distributes electronic copies of annual agency reports and other materials related to the program through the [Recreation Fees on National Forests](http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/fee_demo/fee_intro.shtml) website. A direct link to the site is available at the following internet address: (http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/fee_demo/fee_intro.shtml).

General Accounting Office reports are available at the [Find GAO Reports](http://www.gao.gov/docdblite/form.php?entry=1) website. Select the | GAO Reports | Find GAO Reports | menu options where a search under the keyword "recreation" will find the relevant reports. The direct link is available at <http://www.gao.gov/docdblite/form.php?entry=1>. Congressional Research Service's nonpartisan reports related to the Recreational Fee Demonstration program are redistributed through the Library of Congress's National Library for the Environment website at [Congressional Research Service Reports at the National Library for the Environment \(NLE\)](http://cnie.org/NLE/CRS/) which is at <http://cnie.org/NLE/CRS/>.



Additional Sources for Information Related To Fees

Some affected parties and stakeholders

(Anderson 2001), (Bengston and Fan 2000), (Bengston and Fan 2001), (Bschor 2001), (Hoschek 2001), (HPRC 2001), (IMBA 2000), (Johnson 2001), (Keith 2001), (Lamb 2001), (Mackey 2001), (Robertson 2001), (Spencer 2001), (Viehman 2001), (Warren 2001), (Zauberls 2001)

The appropriateness of fees in addressing the need for additional funding

(Lowry 1993), (Martin 1999)

Trust

(Anderson 2001), (Winter, Burkhardt et al. 1998), (Winter and Palucki 1999)

Distributive effects of fees

Impacts to low-income visitors

(Adams, Bergland, Musser, Johnson and Musser 1989), (Bamford, Manning, Forcier and Koenemann 1988), (Baumol 1980), (Crompton 1983), (Emmett, Havitz and McCarville 1996), (Fargo and Nakata 1984), (Jurovski 2001), (Lime, Lundgren, Warzecha, Thompson and Stone 1998), (Martin 1999), (More 2000), (Price 1981), (Reiling, Cheng and Trott 1992a), (Reiling, Cheng and Trott 1992b), (Reiling, Cheng, Robinson, McCarville and White 1996), (Schneider, LaPointe and Stievater 2000)

Effects on local residents

(Martin 1999), (McCarville, Reiling and White 1996), (Walsh, Peterson and McKean 1989)

Effects on specific user groups

(Baumol 1980), (Bowker and Leeworthy 1998a), (Bowker and Leeworthy 1998b), (Carr and Williams 1992), (Crompton 1984a), (Crompton 1984c), (Hoffman 1997), (Hoover 1978), (LaPage 1968), (LaPage, Cormier, Hamilton and Cormier 1975), (Martin 1999), (Reiling and Cheng 1995), (Reiling, Cheng et al. 1996)

Other general consequences of fees

(Becker, Berrier and Barker 1985), (Bowker, English and Cordell 1999), (Clawson 1990), (Crompton 1998a), (Crompton 1998c), (Driver and Koch 1986), (Dustin 1986), (Ellerbrock 1982a), (Huebner 1992), (Manning and Baker 1981), (Marsinko 2000), (McCarville 1993), (McCarville, Reiling et al. 1996), (More 1999), (Morgan 1996), (Peterson 1992), (Rechisky and Williamson 1992), (Schroeder 1998), (Schroeder and Louviere 1999), (Teasley, Bergstrom and Cordell 1993), (Teasley, Bergstrom and Cordell 1994), (Whitehead 1990)



Displacement

(Marsinko 2000), (Schneider and Budruk 1999)

Acceptability of fees

The general acceptability of fees

(Bowker, Cordell and Johnson 1999), (Brademas and Readnour 1989), (Brown 1984), (Bumgardner 1983), (1984) conference proceedings, (Calkin and Henderson 1997), (Clawson and Knetsch 1966), (Cockrell and Wellman 1985a), (Cockrell and Wellman 1985b), (Cordell 1985), (Crandall and Driver 1984), (Crompton 1998), (Daniels 1987), (Different Drummer 1995), (Economics Research Associates 1976), (ENPLAN 1997), (ENPLAN 1998), (Epstein 1988), (Fedler and Miles 1989), (Fesenmaier and Schroeder 1983), (Field, Krannich, Luloff and Pratt 1997), (Fletcher, Kaiser and Groger 1992), (Fletcher, Sheffield and Furr 1993), (Grimes, Pinhey and Campos 1976), (Harris and Driver 1987), (Holland 1982), (Johnson 1998), (Jones 1984), (Kyle, Kerstetter and Guadagnolo 1999), (Lawler 1998), (Leal, Fretwell and Kiernan 1998), (Leuschner, Cook, Roggenbuck and Oderwald 1987), (Manning and Zwick 1990), (Martin 1986), (Martin 1999), (McCarville, Reiling et al. 1996), (McCarville 1998), (McCurdy 1970), (Miller 1998), (More, Dustin et al. 1996), (More 1999), (More 2000), (O'Toole 1992), (Puttkammer and Watson 1998), (Rechisky and Williamson 1992), (Reiger 1988), (Reiling, Criner et al. 1988), (Reiling and Cheng 1995), (Reiling, Cheng et al. 1996), (Schneider, LaPointe et al. 2000), (Stevens, Allen et al. 1986), (Stevens, More et al. 1989), (U.S. Department of the Interior 1988), (Warren 1986), (White 1993), (Winter, Burkhardt and Gable 1998), (Winter and Palucki 1999), (Wicks and Crompton 1986), (Williams and Watson 1998) **Fee history-** (White, Cobus, Manning, Seffel and More 1991), (White 1992)

Acceptability of the Fee Demonstration program

(Absher, Graefe and Kyle 2001), (Anderson 2001), (Bengston and Fan 2000), (Bengston and Fan 2001), (Bschor 2001), (Chavez 1998), (Chavez and Gable 1998), (Gable, Burkhardt and Winter 1997), (Gable, Chavez and Short 1997), (Hoschek 2001), (HPRC 2001), (Johnson 1991), (Johnson 2001), (Keith 2001), (Krannich, Eisenhauer, Field, Pratt and Luloff 1999), (LA Times 2001), (Lamb 2001), (Lewis and Lime 1998a), (Lewis and Lime 1998b), (Lewis and Lime 1998c), (Lewis and Lime 1998d), (Lewis and Lime 1999), (Lime and Lewis 1997), (Lime, Lundgren et al. 1998), (Lime and Lewis 2000), (Lundgren and Lime 1997a), (Lundgren and Lime 1997b), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha, Lewis and Thompson 1997), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997a), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997b), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997c), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997d), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997e), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997f), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997g), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997h), (Lundgren, Lime, Warzecha and Thompson 1997i), (Mackey 2001), (Martin 1999), (Mazaika 1998), (Miller 1998), (Novak 1998), (Olsen 1998), (Olsen 1999), (Payne 1998), (Powers 1999), (Richer 1998a), (Richer 1998b), (Richer 1998c), (Richer 2001), (Rillito Consulting Group 1998), (Robertson 2001), (Schneider, LaPointe and Stievater 1999), (Sierra Club Yodeler 1999), (Spencer 2001), (Terrell 1998), (U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) 1997), (U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) 1998), (U.S. General Accounting Office 1998), (U.S. General Accounting Office 2001), (USDA 2001a), (USDA 2001b), (USDOJ & USDA 1998), (USDOJ & USDA 2000), (Viehman 2001), (Warren 2001), (Watzman 2001), (Winter, Burkhardt et al. 1998), (Winter and Palucki 1999), (Zauberls 2001)



Acceptability of fees in wilderness

(Christensen, Borrie and Williams 1998), (Fedler and Miles 1989) backcountry, (Lewis and Lime 1998a), (Lewis and Lime 1998b), (Lewis and Lime 1998c), (Lewis and Lime 1998d), (Lewis and Lime 1999), (Lime and Lewis 2000), (Loomis, Bonetti and Echohawk 1999), (Martin 1986) backcountry, (Richer and Christensen 1999), (Schneider, LaPointe et al. 2000), (Trainor 1998), (Trainor and Norgaard 1999), (Vogt and Watson 1998), (Vogt and Williams 1999), (Walsh, Loomis and Gillman 1984), (Watson, Puttkammer and Christensen 1998), (Watson, Williams, Vogt, Richer, Puttkammer, Christensen, Parsons and Trainor 1998), (Watson and Vogt 1998), (Williams and Watson 1998), (Williams, Vogt and Vitterso 1999)

Pricing structure

Stakeholder issues and concerns relating to price and pricing

(McLean and Johnson 1997), (Miller 1998)


Comments and suggestions from research related to price and pricing

(Alexander 1997), (Anderson 1994), (Argow and Fedkiw 1963), (Aronson and Schwartz 1975), (Baldacchino 1984), (Barborak 1988), (Baumol 1980), (Becker 1975), (Bierhanzl and Downing 1998), (Binkley and Mendelsohn 1987), (Bohnsack and Sousa 1998), (Bossi 1984), (Brown 1971), (Cordell 1981), (Cordell 1985), (Cory 1985), (Crompton 1981), (Crompton 1982), (Crompton 1984b), (Downing 1992), (Ellerbrock 1982b), (Funkhouser 1984), (Guldin and Kroon 1987), (Howard and Selin 1987), (Jones 1984), (Kerr and Manfredo 1991), (Knapman and Stoeckl 1995), (Knetsch 1966), (Laarman and Gregersen 1996), (LaPage 1994), (LaPage 1995), (Leal and Fretwell 1997), (Mangun and Loomis 1987), (Martin 1998), (Martin 1999), (McCarville and Crompton 1987), (McCarville 1987), (McCarville 1990), (McCarville 1991), (McCarville, Driver and Crompton 1992), (McCarville 1993), (McCarville, Crompton and Sell 1993), (McCarville 1995), (McCarville 1996), (McCarville, Reiling et al. 1996), (McCarville 1997), (McLean and Johnson 1997), (Miller 1998), (More and Echelberger 1987), (More, Dustin and Knopf 1996), (Moss 1972), (Phillips, Driver, Roth, Arnold and Crandall 1995), (Price 1981), (Reiling, Anderson and Gibbs 1983), (Reiling and Anderson 1985), (Reiling, Criner and Oltmanns 1988), (Reiling, Cheng et al. 1992a), (Reiling, Cheng et al. 1992b), (Richer 1998b), (Rosenthal, Loomis and Peterson 1984), (Schroeder and Fesenmaier 1983), (Soderberg 1987), (Stevens, Allen and More 1986), (Stevens, More and Allen 1989), (Teasley, Bergstrom et al. 1993), (Teasley, Bergstrom et al. 1994), (Tellis 1986), (Tyre 1975), (U.S. Department of Agriculture 1987), (U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) 1997), (U.S. Department of the Interior 1979), (Utah Science 1993), (Van Sickle and Eagles 1998), (Walsh 1986), (Walsh, Peterson et al. 1989), (Warren and Rea 1998), (Wennergren 1964), (Willis, Canavan and Bond 1975), (Wilman 1988), (Zalatan 1984)

Differential pricing

(Alexander 1996), (Bamford, Manning et al. 1988), (Chase, Lee, Schulze and Anderson 1997), (Chase, Lee, Schulze and Anderson 1998), (Cordell 1981), (Emmett, Havitz et al. 1996), (Manning, Callinan, Echelberger, Koenemann and McEwen 1984), (Nautiyal and Chowdhary 1975), (Rosenthal, Loomis et al. 1984), (Stevenson 1989), (Whitehead 1990)

Demand



(Bierhanzl and Downing 1998), (Fractor 1982), (Knapman and Stoeckl 1995), (Luzar, Gan, Kanjilal and Messonnier 1992), (Nautiyal and Chowdhary 1975)

Willingness to pay

(Abala 1987), (Adams, Bergland et al. 1989), (Ajzen and Driver 1992), (Alexander 1997), (Baldares C. and Laarman 1991), (Benson 1993), (Berrens and Adams 1998), (Christensen, Stewart and King 1993), (Christensen and Richer 1998), (Cicchetti and Smith 1973), (Daniel, Brown, King, Richards and Stewart 1989), (Dwyer, Schroeder, Louviere and Anderson 1989), (Economics Research Associates 1976), (Fedler and Miles 1989), (Fesenmaier and Schroeder 1983), (Fisher and Krutilla 1972), (Fractor 1982), (Kahneman and Knetsch 1992), (Kerr and Manfredo 1991), (Kyle, Kerstetter et al. 1999), (Laarman and Gregersen 1996), (Lindberg and Aylward 1999), (Loomis and Thomas 1992), (McCarville 1991), (McCarville 1997), (McDonald, Noe and Hammitt 1987), (Menz and Mullen 1981), (Michael and Reiling 1997), (Noe, McDonald and Hammitt 1986), (Puttkammer and Watson 1998), (Riley, Caldwell, Eagles and Graham 1989), (Shultz, Pinazzo and Cifuentes 1998), (Stevens, Allen et al. 1986), (Stevens, More et al. 1989), (Trainor 1998), (Trainor and Norgaard 1999), (Walsh, Miller and Gilliam 1983)

Economic aspects of charging fees

(Bierhanzl and Downing 1998), (Cory 1980), (Daniels 1987), (Different Drummer 1995), (Gibbs 1977), (Gibbs 1980), (Gibbs and van Hees 1981), (Guldin and Kroon 1987), (Leal and Fretwell 1997), (Loomis 1982), (Mangun and Loomis 1987), (Miller 1998), (Monroe and Petroschius 1981), (Mumy and Hanke 1975), (O'Toole 1999), (Peterson, Loomis and Sorg 1985), (Reiling and Anderson 1985), (Schultz, McAvoy and Dustin 1988), (Teasley, Bergstrom et al. 1993), (Teasley, Bergstrom et al. 1994), (Utah Science 1993), (Wennergren 1964)

Fee Implementation issues and concerns

Concerns regarding the initiation and development of the Fee Demonstration Program
(Anderson 2001)

General fee implementation issues

(Bamford, Manning et al. 1988), (Becker 1975), (Henderson 1996), (Herath 1998), (Kerr and Manfredo 1991), (Krannich, Eisenhauer et al. 1999), (LaPage 1994), (LaPage 1995), (Lowry 1993), (Luzar, Gan et al. 1992), (Martin 1999), (McDonald, Noe et al. 1987), (More 1999), (Nakata 1984) Park Service, (Powers 1999), (Schultz, McAvoy et al. 1988)

The effect of fees on volunteerism

(Martin 1999), (Zauberls 2001)

Program and financial accountability

(Goodale 2001), (Martin 1999)



Monitoring and evaluation

(Absher, McCollum and Bowker 1999), (Crompton 1982), (ENPLAN (for USDA) 1999), (Jurowski 2001), (Richer 2001), (Rillito Consulting Group 1998), (U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) 1998), (U.S. Department of the Interior (National Park Service and U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) 1998), (U.S. General Accounting Office 1998), (USDA 2001a), (USDA 2001b), (USDO I & USDA 1998), (USDO I & USDA 2000), (Winter, Burkhardt et al. 1998)

“Birthright” and “heritage” issues

(Martin 1999)

Public safety

(Fletcher 1984)

Potential impact on natural resources related to fees being charged

(Moskowitz 1998), (O'Toole 1991), (Powers 1999), (Sierra Club Yodeler 1999), (Watzman 2001)

Revenue distribution

(Huebner 1992), (Schneider, LaPointe et al. 1999)

Liability concerns

(Kozlowski 1984), (USDO I & USDA 1998)

Encouraging appropriate innovation

(Absher, McCollum et al. 1999)

Research questions

(Absher, McCollum et al. 1999), (Anderson 2001), (Berrens and Adams 1998), (Gable, Burkhardt et al. 1997), (Gillette 1988), (Martin 1999), (More 2000), (U.S. General Accounting Office 1998), (Warren 1986), (Watson and Herath 1999), (Winter, Burkhardt et al. 1998)



Bibliography


- (1984). Fees For Outdoor Recreation On Lands Open To The Public, Conference Proceedings, Gorham, NH, Research Department, Appalachian Mountain Club.
- Abala, D. O. (1987). "A theoretical and empirical investigation of the willingness to pay for recreational services: A case study of Nairobi National Park." Eastern Africa Economic Review **3**(2): 111-119.
- Absher, J., Graefe, A. and Kyle, G. (2001). Executive Summary- Customer Service In a Fee Environment: Mono Basin Scenic Area Visitor Center. USDA Forest Service.
- Absher, J. D., McCollum, D. W. and Bowker, J. M. (1999). "The value of research in recreation fee project implementation." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **17**(3): in press.
- Adams, R. M., Bergland, O., Musser, W. N., Johnson, S. L. and Musser, L. M. (1989). "User fees and equity issues in public hunting expenditures: The case of ring-necked pheasant in Oregon." Land Economics **65**(4): 376-385.
- Ajzen, I. and Driver, B. L. (1992). "Contingent value measurement: On the nature and meaning of willingness to pay." Journal of Consumer Psychology **1**(4): 297-316.
- Alexander, G. D. (1996). Increasing revenues in state parks -- What works and what doesn't. Proceedings of the 1995 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. C. P. Dawson. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-218: 36-40.
- Alexander, G. D. (1997). Adding value to the outdoor recreation experience. Proceedings of the 1996 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. W. F. Kuentzel. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-232: 213-215.
- Anderson, K. (2001). The Debate Surrounding Newly Implemented Recreation User Fees on Federal Land: An Examination of Those Actively Opposed. School of Forestry. Missoula, University of Montana: 120.
- Anderson, T. L. (1994). To fee or not to fee: The economics of below-cost recreation. Multiple Conflicts Over Multiple Uses. T. L. Anderson. Bozeman, MT, Political Economy Research Center: 1-16.
- Argow, K. A. and Fedkiw, J. (1963). "Recreation user fee income: How far does it go toward meeting costs?" Journal of Forestry **61**: 751-753.
- Aronson, J. R. and Schwartz, E. (1975). User charges and special districts. Management Policies in Local Government Finance. E. Schwartz. Washington, D.C., International City Management Association: 166-183.
- Baldacchino, N. P. (1984). "Recreation fees on national wildlife refuges." Trends **21**(4): 39-41.
- Baldares C., M. J. and Laarman, J. G. (1991). User fees at protected areas in Costa Rica. Valuing Environmental Benefits in Developing Economies. J. P. Hoehn. East Lansing, MI, Michigan State University, Agricultural Experiment Station: 87-108.
- Bamford, T. E., Manning, R. E., Forcier, L. K. and Koenemann, E. J. (1988). "Differential campsite pricing: An experiment." Journal of Leisure Research **20**(4): 324-342.
- Barborak, J. R. (1988). Innovative funding mechanisms used by Costa Rican conservation agencies. New Challenges for the World's Protected Areas. Gland, Switzerland, International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources: 104-118.
- Baumol, W. J. (1980). "Theory of equity in pricing for resource conservation." Journal of Environmental Economics and Management **7**: 308-320.



- Becker, B. W. (1975). "The pricing of educational-recreational facilities: An administrative dilemma." Journal of Leisure Research 7(2): 86-94.
- Becker, R. H., Berrier, D. and Barker, G. D. (1985). "Entrance fees and visitation levels." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 3: 28-31.
- Bengston, D. and Fan, D. (2000). The Public Debate About the Recreation Fee Demonstration Program on the U.S. National Forests. Diverse Challenges of our times: People, Products, Places: Third Symposium on Social Aspects of Recreation Research, Tempe, AZ.
- Bengston, D. and Fan, D. (2001). The Recreation Fee Demonstration Program on the National Forests: An Updated Analysis of Public Attitudes and Beliefs, 1999-2001.
- Benson, J. F. (1993). "A technique for valuing nonpriced recreational features of commercial forests." Leisure Sciences 15: 149-158.
- Berrens, R. P. and Adams, R. M. (1998). "Applying contingent valuation in the design of fee hunting programs: Pheasant hunting in Oregon revisited." Human Dimensions of Wildlife 3(3): 11-25.
- Bierhanzl, E. J. and Downing, P. B. (1998). "User charges and bureaucratic inefficiency." Atlantic Economic Journal 26(2): 175-189.
- Binkley, C. S. and Mendelsohn, R. O. (1987). "Recreation user fees: II. An economic analysis." Journal of Forestry 85(5): 31-35.
- Bohnsack, B. L. and Sousa, R. J. (1998). Lessons learned from sixty years of state fish and wildlife agencies funding, USDA Forest Service. 1999.
- Bossi, J. E. (1984). "Recreation user fees -- a much maligned subject." Trends 21(4): 9-11.
- Bowker, J. M., Cordell, H. K. and Johnson, C. Y. (1999). "User fees for recreation services on public lands: A national assessment." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 17(3): in press.
- Bowker, J. M., English, D. B. K. and Cordell, H. K. (1999). Projections of outdoor recreation participation to 2050. Outdoor Recreation in American Life: A National Assessment of Demand and Supply Trends. H. K. Cordell. Champaign, IL, Sagamore Publishing: 323-350.
- Bowker, J. M. and Leeworthy, V. R. (1998a). "Accounting for ethnicity in recreation demand: A flexible count data approach." Journal of Leisure Research 30(1): 64-78.
- Bowker, J. M. and Leeworthy, V. R. (1998b). Ethnicity, user fees and recreation demand: Some results from the Florida Keys, USDA Forest Service. 1999.
- Brademas, D. J. and Readnour, J. K. (1989). "Status of fees and charges in public leisure service agencies." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 7(4): 42-55.
- Brown, B. R. (1984). "Recreational use fees on public lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management." Trends 21(4): 31-35.
- Brown, G., Jr. (1971). "Pricing seasonal recreation services." Western Economic Journal 9(2): 218-225.
- Bschor, D. (2001). Acting Associate Deputy Chief, Forest Service. Statement to Congress: Congressional Testimony concerning the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program.
- Bumgardner, W. H. (1983). Review of Recreation Fees and Charges at Selected Campgrounds and Recreation Areas. Vicksburg, MS, Recreation Research Program, Environmental Laboratory, U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station: 13 pp.
- Calkin, D. E. and Henderson, J. E. (1997). Evaluation of Effects of Implementing Day-Use Fees at Corps of Engineers Recreation Areas. Vicksburg, MS, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station: 48 pp.
- Carr, D. S. and Williams, D. R. (1992). Understanding diverse recreationists: Beyond quantitative analysis. Managing Urban and High-Use Recreation Settings. P. H. Gobster. Chicago, IL, USDA Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NC-163: 101-106.



- Chase, L. C., Lee, D. R., Schulze, W. D. and Anderson, D. J. (1997). Ecotourism Demand and Differential Pricing of National Park Entrance Fees in Costa Rica. Ithaca, NY, Department of Agricultural, Resource, and Managerial Economics, Cornell University: 38 pp.
- Chase, L. C., Lee, D. R., Schulze, W. D. and Anderson, D. J. (1998). "Ecotourism demand and differential pricing of national park access in Costa Rica." Land Economics 74(4): 466-482.
- Chavez, D. J. (1998). Voices across the United States: Opinions from national forest customers about recreation fees, USDA Forest Service. 1999.
- Chavez, D. J. and Gable, R. (1998). Will they pay to play on national forests? Perceptions of customers in the Enterprise Zone, USDA Forest Service. 1999.
- Christensen, N. and Richer, J. R. (1998). Maximum and appropriate price for day use in the Desolation Wilderness, USDA Forest Service. 1999.
- Christensen, N. A., Borrie, B. and Williams, D. R. (1998). Appropriateness to pay: Is wilderness a unique recreation experience?, USDA Forest Service. 1999.
- Christensen, N. A., Stewart, W. P. and King, D. A. (1993). "National forest campgrounds: Users willing to pay more." Journal of Forestry 91(7): 43-47.
- Cicchetti, C. J. and Smith, V. K. (1973). "Congestion, quality deterioration, and optimal use: Wilderness recreation in the Spanish Peaks Primitive Area." Social Science Research 2: 15-30.
- Clawson, M. (1990). "The federal role in outdoor recreation." Resources 99(Spring): 11-14.
- Clawson, M. and Knetsch, J. (1966). Economics of Outdoor Recreation. Baltimore, John Hopkins Press.
- Cockrell, D. and Wellman, J. D. (1985a). Against the running tide: Democracy and outdoor recreation user fees. 1985 Proceedings National Outdoor Recreation Trends Symposium II. Atlanta, GA, USDI National Park Service, Science Publications Office. 2: 193-203.
- Cockrell, D. and Wellman, J. D. (1985b). "Democracy and leisure: Reflections on pay-as-you-go outdoor recreation." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 3(4): 1-10.
- Cordell, H. K. (1981). Pricing for allocating low-density recreational use between private and commercial users of natural areas. Proceedings of the National Conference on Allocation of Recreation Opportunities on Public Land between Outfitted and Nonoutfitted Publics. L. J. Buist. Reno, NV, Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station, College of Agriculture, University of Nevada.: 77-103.
- Cordell, H. K. (1985). Criteria for outdoor recreation pricing policies. Forest Recreation Research in the South. Athens, GA, USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 1-17.
- Cory, D. C. (1980). "Equity-efficiency tradeoffs in natural resource management: The case of congestion." Journal of Environmental Systems 9(4): 325-334.
- Cory, D. C. (1985). "Congestion costs and quality-adjusted user fees: A methodological note." Land Economics 61(4): 452-455.
- Crandall, D. A. and Driver, B. L. (1984). "Recreation on public lands: Should the user pay?" American Forests 90(3): 10-11, 49-53.
- Crompton, J. (1998). Visitor reactions to price increases at Texas State Parks, USDA Forest Service. 1999.
- Crompton, J. L. (1981). "How to find the price that's right." Parks and Recreation 16(3): 32-39, 64.
- Crompton, J. L. (1982). "Psychological dimensions of pricing leisure services." Recreation Research Review 9(3): 12-20.
- Crompton, J. L. (1983). "Recreation vouchers: A case study in administrative innovation and citizen participation." Public Administration Review 43(6): 537-546.
- Crompton, J. L. (1984a). "The equitability of full-price policies for senior citizens." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 2(1): 3-8.
- Crompton, J. L. (1984b). "How to establish a price for park and recreation services." Trends 21(4): 12-21.



- Crompton, J. L. (1984c). "Treating equals equally: Common abuses in pricing public services." Parks and Recreation **September**: 67-71.
- Crompton, J. L. (1998). Visitor Reactions to Prices at TPWD Parks. Texas A&M University, Department of Recreation, Park and Tourism Sciences: 26 pp.
- Daniel, T. C., Brown, T. C., King, D. A., Richards, M. T. and Stewart, W. P. (1989). "Perceived scenic beauty and contingent valuation of forest campgrounds." Forest Science **35**(1): 76-90.
- Daniels, S. E. (1987). "Marginal cost pricing and the efficient provision of public recreation." Journal of Leisure Research **19**(1): 22-34.
- Different Drummer (1995). "Building a solid foundation for our parks." Different Drummer **2**(1): 49-56.
- Downing, P. B. (1992). "The revenue potential of user charges in municipal finance." Public Finance Quarterly **20**(4): 512-527.
- Driver, B. L. and Koch, N. E. (1986). Cross-cultural trends in user fees charged at national outdoor recreation areas. 18th IUFRO World Congress, Division 6. Yugoslav IUFRO World Congress, Organizing Committee: 370-385.
- Dustin, D. L. (1986). "Outdoor recreation: A question of equity." Forum for Applied Research and Public Policy **1**(3): 62-67.
- Dwyer, J. F., Schroeder, H. W., Louviere, J. J. and Anderson, D. H. (1989). "Urbanites willingness to pay for trees and forests in recreation areas." Journal of Arboriculture **15**(10): 247-252.
- Economics Research Associates (1976). Evaluation of Public Willingness to Pay User Charges for Use of Outdoor Recreation Areas and Facilities: 45 pp.
- Ellerbrock, M. (1982a). "Some straight talk on user fees." Parks and Recreation **January**: 59-62.
- Ellerbrock, M. (1982b). Implementing User Fees at Public Parks and Recreation Areas. Gainesville, FL, University of Florida, Food and Resource Economics Department, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences: 10 pp., 4 pp., 12 pp.
- Emmett, J. L., Havitz, M. E. and McCarville, R. E. (1996). "A price subsidy policy for socio-economically disadvantaged recreation participants." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **14**(1): 63-80.
- ENPLAN (for USDA) (1999). Enhancement Fund Monitoring Program. Shasta-Trinity National Forests, ENPLAN- Environmental Scientists and Planners.
- ENPLAN, E. S. a. P. (1997). Enhancement Fund Monitoring Program, 1997 Survey Report. Redding, CA: 108 pp.
- ENPLAN, E. S. a. P. (1998). Enhancement Fund Monitoring Program, 1988 Survey Report. Redding, CA: 149 pp.
- Epstein, M. (1988). "The uses of user fees." Kansas Government Journal **74**(2): 54-55.
- Fargo, H. and Nakata, L. (1984). "Minimizing the impact of fees on low income users." Trends **21**(4): 36-38.
- Fedler, A. J. and Miles, A. F. (1989). "Paying for backcountry recreation: Understanding the acceptability of use fees." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **7**(2): 35-46.
- Fesenmaier, D. R. and Schroeder, T. D. (1983). "Financing public outdoor recreation: A study of user fees at Oklahoma State Parks." Review of Regional Economics and Business **8**(1): 29-35.
- Field, D. R., Krannich, R. S., Luloff, A. E. and Pratt, C. (1997). National Park Service Managers' Views Toward the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program - 1997, Executive Summary, Pennsylvania State University, University of Wisconsin-Madison, and Utah State University. **1999**.
- Fisher, A. C. and Krutilla, J. V. (1972). Determination of optimal capacity of resource-based recreation facilities. Natural Environments: Studies in Theoretical and Applied Analysis. J. V. Krutilla. Baltimore, MD, The Johns Hopkins University Press: 115-141.
- Fletcher, J. E. (1984). "The effect of controlled access and entrance fees on park visitor safety and security." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **2**(4): 13-23.



- Fletcher, J. E., Kaiser, R. A. and Groger, S. (1992). "An assessment of the importance and performance of park impact fees in funding park and recreation infrastructure." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **10**(3): 75-87.
- Fletcher, J. E., Sheffield, E. A. and Furr, H. L. (1993). "Assessing public recreation service and facility preferences of tourists and residents at North Lake Tahoe, California." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **11**(4): 60-77.
- Fractor, D. T. (1982). "Evaluating alternative methods for rationing wilderness use." Journal of Leisure Research **14**(4): 341-349.
- Funkhouser, G. R. (1984). "Using consumer expectations as an input to pricing decisions." Journal of Consumer Marketing **6**: 35-41.
- Gable, R., Burkhardt, R. L. and Winter, P. L. (1997). Assessing Community Impressions of a Fee Pilot Program: Final Report. Claremont, CA, Claremont Graduate University, Center for Organizational and Behavioral Sciences: 61 pp.
- Gable, R., Chavez, D. and Short, R. (1997). Pilot Fee Demonstration Project Evaluation: Visitor Surveys on the Enterprise Zone, Phase I -- Before Fee Implementation. Claremont, CA, Claremont Graduate University, Center for Organization and Behavioral Sciences: 47 pp.
- Gibbs, K. C. (1977). Economics and administrative regulations of outdoor recreation use. Outdoor Recreation Advances in Application of Economics, Proceedings of a National Symposium, USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-2: 98-104.
- Gibbs, K. C. (1980). "Public campgrounds: Are they profitable?" Journal of Forestry **August**: 466-468.
- Gibbs, K. C. and van Hees, W. W. S. (1981). "Cost of operating public campgrounds." Journal of Leisure Research **13**(3): 243-253.
- Gillette, C. P. (1988). User fees and environmental protection: A legal perspective on user fees. Administrative Conference of the United States: Federal User Fees, Proceedings of a Symposium. T. D. Hopkins. Washington, D.C.: 19-27.
- Goodale, T. (2001). Keynote Address: Discipline and Chaos. 2000 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium, Bolton Landing, NY, USDA Forest Service, Northeast Research Station.
- Grimes, M. D., Pinhey, T. K. and Campos, D. E. (1976). Pay as you play: An analysis of attitudes toward a fee-for-use approach to outdoor recreation. Proceedings of the First Conference on Scientific Research in the National Parks, Volume II. R. M. Linn. Washington, D.C., U.S. Department of the Interior: 1013-1019.
- Guldin, R. W. and Kroon, H. J. (1987). Economic and social aspects of user fees. Proceedings of the 18th IUFRO World Congress for Economic Value Analysis of Multiple Forestry. Corvallis, OR, Printed by the Department of Resource Recreation Management, Oregon State University: 217-229.
- Harris, C. C. and Driver, B. L. (1987). "Recreation user fees: I. Pros and cons." Journal of Forestry **May**: 25-29.
- Henderson, J. E. (1996). Day Use Fee Collection - Innovative Methods and Success Stories. Vicksburg, MS, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station: 7 pp.
- Herath, G. (1998). Natural Areas, Sustainable Use and Entry Fees In Australia: An Evaluation of the Issues. Beaudesert, Queensland, Australia: 18 pp.
- Hoffman, R. (1997). "To fee or not to fee." American Whitewater **37**(5): 19-23.
- Holland, D. M. (1982). User charges in the wake of tax and expenditure limitations. The Impact of Fiscal Restraints: Alternative Financing by Localities. Cambridge, MA, Lincoln Institute of Land Policy: 1-13.
- Hoover, R. L. (1978). User Fees for Hunting and Fishing on Public Lands. Denver, CO, Colorado Division of Wildlife: 65 pp.



- Hoschek, D. (2001). Public Access Coalition. Statement to Congress: Hearing on the Forest Service Recreation Fee Demonstration Program, Subcommittee on Forests and Forest Health.
- Howard, D. R. and Selin, S. W. (1987). "A method for establishing consumer price tolerance levels for public recreation services." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 5(3): 48-59.
- HPRC (2001). Statement of the Human Powered Recreation Coalition. Statement to Congress: Permanent Extension of the Forest Service Recreation Fee Demonstration Program, Subcommittee on Forests and Forest Health.
- Huebner, A. (1992). Using market and nonmarket values of wilderness lands in alternative revenue-sharing strategies. The Economic Value of Wilderness: Proceedings of the Conference. Asheville, NC, USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report SE-78: 217-223.
- IMBA (2000). International Mountain Bicycling Association. IMBA Position on Recreation User Fees.
- Johnson, M. E. (2001). VP/Government Affairs, Outdoor Industry Association. Statement to Congress: Oversight Hearing on Permanent Extension of the Forest Service Recreation Fee Program.
- Johnson, P. R. (1998). Campers in Rustic Campgrounds: Characteristics of Non-Senior Supporters and Opponents of Differential and Higher Fees. East Lansing, Michigan State University, Department of Park, Recreation and Tourism Sciences: 76 pp.
- Johnson, R. (1991). "Pay to play: A rationale for user fees." American Forests 97(3/4): 52-54, 72-73.
- Jones, S. M. (1984). "Nationwide study documents: State of the art in park and recreation finance." Trends 21(4): 42-48.
- Jurowski, C. (2001). Free Day-Use Parking Pass Distribution Program Evaluation Study for the Prescott National Forest, Northern Arizona University: 33.
- Kahneman, D. and Knetsch, J. L. (1992). "Valuing public goods: The purchase of moral satisfaction." Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 22: 57-70.
- Keith, J. (2001). The Access Fund. Statement to Congress: Testimony on the Recreation Fee Demonstration Program.
- Kerr, G. N. and Manfredo, M. J. (1991). "An attitudinal based model of pricing for recreation services." Journal of Leisure Research 23(1): 37-50.
- Knapman, B. and Stoeckl, N. (1995). "Recreation user fees: An Australian empirical investigation." Tourism Economics 1(1): 5-15.
- Knetsch, J. L. (1966). Financing public outdoor recreation. Proceedings of the National Conference on Policy Issues in Outdoor Recreation. Washington, D.C., U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation: 83-103.
- Kozlowski, J. C. (1984). "Fees may have adverse impact on recreational use immunity." Trends 21(4): 26-30.
- Krannich, R. S., Eisenhauer, B. W., Field, D. R., Pratt, C. and Luloff, A. E. (1999). "Implications of the National Park Service Recreational Fee Demonstration Program for park operations and management: Perceptions of NPS managers." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 17(3): in press.
- Kyle, G. T., Kerstetter, D. L. and Guadagnolo, F. B. (1999). "The influence of outcome messages and involvement on participant reference price." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration 17(3): in press.
- LA Times (2001). Los Angeles Times Poll. Nation: Environmental Issues, Study #458.
- Laarman, J. G. and Gregersen, H. M. (1996). "Pricing policy in nature-based tourism." Tourism Management 17(4): 247-254.
- Lamb, J. (2001). Public Policy Director, National Outdoor Leadership School. Statement to Congress: Testimony Regarding the Fee Demonstration Program.
- LaPage, W. (1995). "New Hampshire's self-funding state parks." Different Drummer Summer: 29-32.



- LaPage, W. F. (1968). The Role of Fees in Campers' Decisions. Upper Darby, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station: 24 pp.
- LaPage, W. F. (1994). America's self-funding park system: The New Hampshire model. Protected Area Economics and Policy: Linking Conservation and Sustainable Development. J. McNeely. Washington, D.C., The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank: 117-120.
- LaPage, W. F., Cormier, P. L., Hamilton, G. T. and Cormier, A. D. (1975). Differential Campsite Pricing and Campground Attendance. Upper Darby, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station: 6 pp.
- Lawler, M. (1998). "Charging fees cheapens our heritage." Inner Voice **10**(1): 15.
- Leal, D. R. and Fretwell, H. L. (1997). Back To the Future To Save Our Parks. PERC Policy Series. J. S. Shaw. Bozeman, MT, Political Economy Research Center, Issue Number PS-10, 35 pp.
- Leal, D. R., Fretwell, H. L. and Kiernan, T. (1998). "Should national parks finance their operations through user fees?" Insight **14**(45): 24-27.
- Leuschner, W. A., Cook, P. S., Roggenbuck, J. W. and Oderwald, R. G. (1987). "A comparative analysis for wilderness user fee policy." Journal of Leisure Research **19**(2): 101-114.
- Lewis, M. S. and Lime, D. W. (1998a). Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness User Fee Evaluation: 1998 Study of Camper Party Leaders. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, Department of Forest Resources: 85 pp.
- Lewis, M. S. and Lime, D. W. (1998b). Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness User Fee Evaluation: 1998 Study of Campers Who Purchased the Seasonal Fee Card. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, Department of Forest Resources: 53 pp.
- Lewis, M. S. and Lime, D. W. (1998c). Reactions of campers and interest groups to a proposed user fee in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Lewis, M. S. and Lime, D. W. (1998d). Reactions to a Proposed Camping Fee in Minnesota's Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness: A 1997 Study of Campers and Interest Group Representatives. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 4 pp.
- Lewis, M. S. and Lime, D. W. (1999). Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness User Fee Evaluation: 1998 Study of Area Cooperators. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, Department of Forest Resources: 36 pp.
- Lime, D. W. and Lewis, M. S. (1997). Reactions of Campers and Interest Group Representatives to a Proposed Camping Use Fee in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness: Results of a 1997 study. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources: 81 pp.
- Lime, D. W. and Lewis, M. S. (2000). Research Summary: Comparing Camping Party Leaders 1998 and 1999 Reactions to a Recently Implemented User Fee in Minnesota's Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, University of Minnesota.
- Lime, D. W., Lundgren, A. L., Warzecha, C. A., Thompson, J. L. and Stone, T. G. (1998). Reactions of 1997 park visitors to the National Park Service Recreational Fee Demonstration Program, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Lindberg, K. and Aylward, B. (1999). "Price responsiveness in the developing country nature tourism context: Review and Costa Rican case study." Journal of Leisure Research **31**(3): in press.
- Loomis, J., Bonetti, K. and Echohawk, C. (1999). Demand for and supply of wilderness. Outdoor Recreation in American Life: A National Assessment of Demand and Supply Trends. H. K. Cordell. Champaign, Il, Sagamore Publishing: 352-375.
- Loomis, J. B. (1982). "Effect of non-price rationing on benefit estimates from publicly provided recreation." Journal of Environmental Management **14**: 283-289.



- Loomis, J. B. and Thomas, M. H. (1992). Pricing and revenue capture: Converting willingness to pay into state and private revenue. Valuing Wildlife Resources In Alaska. M. H. Thomas. Boulder, CO, Westview Press, Inc.: 255-274.
- Lowry, W. R. (1993). "Land of the fee: Entrance fees and the National Park Service." Political Research Quarterly **46**(4): 823-845.
- Lundgren, A. L. and Lime, D. W. (1997a). Overview of a 1997 National Park Service Monitoring Study to Obtain Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 38 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L. and Lime, D. W. (1997b). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Colonial National Historical Park, Yorktown Unit. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 24 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A., Lewis, M. S. and Thompson, J. L. (1997). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 67 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997a). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Yosemite National Park. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 39 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997b). Content Analysis of Correspondence Received by the National Park Service Regarding the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 20 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997c). Monitoring 1997 Park Visitor Reactions to the National Park Service Recreational Fee Demonstration Program. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 4 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997d). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Alcatraz Golden Gate National Recreation Area. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 25 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997e). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Frederick Douglass National Historic Site. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 28 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997f). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Glen Canyon National Recreation Area. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 37 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997g). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Grand Canyon National Park. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 38 pp.
- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997h). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Muir Woods National Monument. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 25 pp.



- Lundgren, A. L., Lime, D. W., Warzecha, C. A. and Thompson, J. L. (1997i). Visitor Reactions to the Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources, Department of Forest Resources, Cooperative Park Studies Unit: 52 pp.
- Luzar, E. J., Gan, C., Kanjilal, B. and Messonnier, M. (1992). "Quality as a latent variable in recreation access analysis." Southern Journal of Agricultural Economics **24**(2): 105-112.
- Mackey, C. (2001). Outward Bound USA (Public Policy Liaison). Statement to Congress: Regarding the Fee Demonstration Program, Subcommittee on Forests and Forest Health.
- Mangun, W. R. and Loomis, J. B. (1987). "An economic analysis of funding alternatives for outdoor recreation in the United States." Policy Studies Review **7**(Winter): 421-431.
- Manning, R. E. and Baker, S. C. (1981). "Discrimination through user fees: Fact or fiction?" Parks and Recreation **September**: 70-74.
- Manning, R. E., Callinan, E. A., Echelberger, H. E., Koenemann, E. J. and McEwen, D. N. (1984). "Differential fees: Raising revenue, distributing demand." Journal of Parks and Recreation Administration **2**(1): 20-38.
- Manning, R. E. and Zwick, R. (1990). The relationship between quality of recreation opportunities and support for recreation funding. Proceedings of the 1990 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-145: 9-12.
- Marsinko, A. (2000). The effect of fees on recreation site choice: management/agency implications. 1999 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium, Bolton Landing, NY, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station.
- Martin, B. H. (1986). Hikers' opinions about fees for backcountry recreation. Proceedings -- National Wilderness Research Conference: Current Research. Ogden, UT, USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, General Technical Report INT-212: 483-488.
- Martin, S. R. (1998). Recreation fees: Convergence of public sentiment, agency objectives and policy principles?, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Martin, S. R. (1999). "A policy implementation analysis of the Recreation Fee Demonstration Program: Convergence of public sentiment, agency programs, and policy principles?" Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **17**(3): in press.
- Mazaika, R. (1998). Federal Recreational Fees: Demonstration Program. Congressional Research Service: Report for Congress.
- McCarville, R. (1987). "Pricing and the equity issue in public leisure service delivery." Recreation Canada **February**: 6-11.
- McCarville, R. (1993). Prices and pricing for outdoor recreation opportunities. Proceedings of the 1993 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. G. A. VanderStoep. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-185: 38-39.
- McCarville, R. (1998). Overview and comments on fee-based presentations, 7th International Symposium on Society and Resource Management, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- McCarville, R. E. (1990). "The role of cognitive processes in explaining reactions to price changes for leisure services." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **8**(3): 74-86.
- McCarville, R. E. (1991). "An empirical investigation of the influence of cost information on willingness to pay for public aerobics classes." Leisure Sciences **13**: 85-96.
- McCarville, R. E. (1995). "Pricing for public leisure services: An ethical dilemma?" Journal of Applied Recreation Research **20**(2): 95-108.
- McCarville, R. E. (1996). "The importance of price last paid in developing price expectations for a public leisure service." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **14**(4): 52-64.



- McCarville, R. E. (1997). "The anchoring effect of price-last-paid information on willingness-to-pay levels." Journal of Applied Recreation Research **22**(3): 191-209.
- McCarville, R. E. and Crompton, J. L. (1987). "Propositions addressing perceptions of reference price for public recreation services." Leisure Sciences **9**(4): 281-291.
- McCarville, R. E., Crompton, J. L. and Sell, J. A. (1993). "The influence of outcome messages on reference prices." Leisure Sciences **15**: 115-130.
- McCarville, R. E., Driver, B. L. and Crompton, J. L. (1992). Persuasive communication and the pricing of public leisure services. Influencing Human Behavior. M. J. Manfreda. Champaign, IL, Sagamore Publishing Inc.: 263-291.
- McCarville, R. E., Reiling, S. D. and White, C. M. (1996). "The role of fairness in users' assessments of first-time fees for a public recreation service." Leisure Sciences **18**: 61-76.
- McCurdy, D. R. (1970). "Recreationists' attitudes toward user fees: Management implications." Journal of Forestry **68**(10): 645-646.
- McDonald, C. D., Noe, F. P. and Hammitt, W. E. (1987). "Expectations and recreation fees: A dilemma for recreation resource administrators." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **5**(2): 1-9.
- McLean, D. J. and Johnson, R. C. A. (1997). "Techniques for rationing public recreation services." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **15**(3): 76-92.
- Menz, F. C. and Mullen, J. K. (1981). "Expected encounters and willingness to pay for outdoor recreation." Land Economics **57**(1): 33-40.
- Michael, J. A. and Reiling, S. D. (1997). "The role of expectations and heterogeneous preferences for congestion in the valuation of recreation benefits." Agricultural and Resource Economics Review **26**(2): 166-173.
- Miller, S. (1998). "A walk in the park: Fee or free?" The George Wright Forum **15**(1): 55-62.
- Monroe, K. B. and Petroschius, S. M. (1981). Buyers' perceptions of price: An update of the evidence. Perspectives in Consumer Behavior. T. Robertson. Glenview, IL, Scott, Foresman: 43-55.
- More, T. (2000). "Do user fees exclude low-income people from resource-based recreation?" Journal of Leisure Research **32**(3): 341-357.
- More, T. A. (1999). "A functionalist approach to user fees." Journal of Leisure Research **31**(3): in press.
- More, T. A., Dustin, D. L. and Knopf, R. C. (1996). "Behavioral consequences of campground user fees." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **14**(1): 81-93.
- More, T. A. and Echelberger, H. E. (1987). Social Equity and Pricing Policy in State Parks: 3 pp.
- Morgan, J. M. (1996). "Resources, recreationists, and revenues: A policy dilemma for today's state park systems." Environmental Ethics **18**(3): 279-290.
- Moskowitz, K. (1998). "Recreation Fee Demonstration Project: You play, you pay." Different Drummer **13**: 40-44.
- Moss, P. I. (1972). Pricing recreation services. Public Prices for Public Products. S. Mushkin. Washington, D.C., The Urban Institute: 335-350.
- Mumy, G. E. and Hanke, S. H. (1975). "Public investment criteria for underpriced public products." American Economic Review **65**(4): 712-720.
- Nakata, L. (1984). "Revenue management in the National Park Service." Trends **21**(4): 22-25.
- Nautiyal, J. C. and Chowdhary, R. L. (1975). "A suggested basis for pricing campsites: Demand estimation in an Ontario Park." Journal of Leisure Research **7**(2): 95-107.
- Noe, F. P., McDonald, C. D. and Hammitt, W. E. (1986). "Exchange satisfaction for fees: Willingness to pay for a park environment." Journal of Environmental Systems **16**(2): 111-122.
- Novak, T. (1998). "Forest Service fee program draws fire." Inner Voice **10**(1): 11-13.
- Olsen, S. (1998). Visitor Response to a Fee Demonstration Pilot Project in the Pariah Canyon-Vermilion Cliffs Wilderness Area. School of Forestry, Northern Arizona University: 52 pp.



- Olsen, S. (1999). Results of Paria Canyon/Coyote Buttes Recreation Test Fee Demonstration Pilot Project Visitor Survey. Flagstaff, AZ, Northern Arizona University, School of Forestry: 44 pp.
- O'Toole, R. (1991). Recreation fees and the Yellowstone forests. The Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem: Redefining America's Wilderness Heritage. M. S. Boyce. New Haven, CT, Yale University Press: 41-48.
- O'Toole, R. (1992). "Paying to play and preserve: The case for recreation fees on the national forests." Forest Watch **12**(11): 18-21.
- O'Toole, R. (1999). Run them like businesses: Natural resource agencies in an era of federal limits, The Thoreau Institute. **1999**.
- Payne, B. R. (1998). What did we learn? Where to from here?, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Peterson, G. L. (1992). Using fees to manage congestion at recreation areas. Park Visitor Research For Better Management. Glen Waverly, Victoria, Canada, Hepper Marriott and Associates: 58-67.
- Peterson, G. L., Loomis, J. B. and Sorg, C. F. (1985). Trends in the value of outdoor recreation. Proceedings 1985 National Outdoor Recreation Trends Symposium II. Atlanta, GA, USDI National Park Service, Science Publications Office. **1**: 243-256.
- Phillips, C., Driver, B. L., Roth, K. L., Arnold, R. and Crandall, D. (1995). Prices Charged by Private Providers of Outdoor Recreation Opportunities. Ft. Collins, CO, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 62 pp.
- Powers, J. (1999). Is the Forest Service fee program appropriate for public lands?, Prescott National Forest Friends. **1999**.
- Price, C. (1981). "Charging versus exclusion: Choice between recreation management tools." Environmental Management **5**(2): 161-175.
- Puttkammer, A. and Watson, A. E. (1998). Acceptability of fees for various recreation activities as a function of activity orientation and past fee behavior, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Rechisky, A. A. and Williamson, B. N. (1992). Impact of user fees on day use attendance at New Hampshire state parks. Proceedings of the 1991 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-160: 106-108.
- Reiger, G. (1988). "User fees: Curse or cure?" American Forests **94**(3/4): 26, 76-78.
- Reiling, S., Cheng, H., Robinson, C., McCarville, R. and White, C. (1996). Potential equity effects of a new day-use fee. Proceedings of the 1995 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-218: 27-31.
- Reiling, S. D. and Anderson, M. W. (1985). "Equity and efficiency in public provision of forest-based recreation opportunities." Journal of Environmental Management **20**: 149-161.
- Reiling, S. D., Anderson, M. W. and Gibbs, K. C. (1983). "Measuring the costs of publicly supplied outdoor recreation facilities: A methodological note." Journal of Leisure Research **15**(3): 203-218.
- Reiling, S. D. and Cheng, H. (1995). Potential revenues from a new day-use fee. Proceedings of the 1994 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-198: 57-60.
- Reiling, S. D., Cheng, H. T. and Trott, C. (1992a). Measuring users' response to higher recreation fees. Proceedings of the 1991 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-160: 98-101.
- Reiling, S. D., Cheng, H. T. and Trott, C. (1992b). "Measuring the discriminatory impact associated with higher recreational fees." Leisure Sciences **14**: 121-137.



- Reiling, S. D., Criner, G. K. and Oltmanns, S. E. (1988). "The influence of information on users' attitudes toward campground user fees." Journal of Leisure Research **20**(3): 208-217.
- Richer, J. R. (1998a). Monitoring visitor response to the Adventure Pass Program, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Richer, J. R. (1998b). Setting new fees for recreation: Daily and annual pricing options in the southern California National Forests, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Richer, J. R. (1998c). Southern California National Forest Adventure Pass Recreation Fee Demonstration Monitoring Program - Summary Of First-Year Findings: Descriptive Statistics, July 1997 - June 1998. San Bernardino, CA, California State University-San Bernardino, Department of Economics, School of Social and Behavioral Sciences: 31 pp.
- Richer, J. R. (2001). Fee Retention Monitoring Program- Preliminary Report. Shasta-Trinity National Recreation Area.
- Richer, J. R. and Christensen, N. A. (1999). "Appropriate fees for wilderness day use: Pricing decisions for recreation on public land." Journal of Leisure Research **31**(3): in press.
- Riley, J. P., Caldwell, L. L., Eagles, P. F. J. and Graham, R. (1989). An analysis of visitors' desire and willingness to pay for an expanded service level in Ontario provincial parks. Proceedings of the 1989 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. J. J. Vaske. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-132: 85-89.
- Rillito Consulting Group (1998). Sabino Canyon Recreation Area Fee Demonstration Program- Santa Catalina Ranger District, Coronado National Forest. Tuscon, USFS.
- Robertson, J. (2001). American Whitewater's Access Director. Statement to Congress: Statement on the FS Recreation Fee Demonstration Program.
- Rosenthal, D. H., Loomis, J. B. and Peterson, G. L. (1984). "Pricing for efficiency and revenue in public recreation areas." Journal of Leisure Research **16**(3): 195-208.
- Schneider, I., LaPointe, C. and Stievater, S. (1999). Perceptions of and Preferences for Fee Program Dollar Utilization Among Wilderness Visitors: 10 pp.
- Schneider, I., LaPointe, C. and Stievater, S. (2000). Perceptions of and preferences for fee program dollar utilization among wilderness visitors. Wilderness science in a time of change conference- Volume 4: Wilderness visitors, experiences, and visitor management, Missoula, MT, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- Schneider, I. E. and Budruk, M. (1999). "Displacement as a response to the federal recreation fee program." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **17**(3): in press.
- Schroeder, H. W. (1998). Predicting the impact of user fees on public choice of recreation sites, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Schroeder, H. W. and Louviere, J. (1999). "Stated choice models for predicting the impact of user fees at public recreation sites." Journal of Leisure Research **31**(3): in press.
- Schroeder, T. D. and Fesenmaier, D. R. (1983). Pricing policies in outdoor recreation: A study of state park financing in Oklahoma. Recreation Planning and Management. D. R. Fesenmaier. State College, PA, Venture Publishing: 55-65.
- Schultz, J. H., McAvoy, L. H. and Dustin, D. L. (1988). "What are we in business for?" Parks and Recreation **January**: 52-55.
- Shultz, S., Pinazzo, J. and Cifuentes, M. (1998). "Opportunities and limitations of contingent valuation surveys to determine national park entrance fees: Evidence from Costa Rica." Environment and Development Economics **3**: 131-149.
- Sierra Club Yodeler (1999). The corporate takeover of nature. Sierra Club Yodeler. The newspaper of the San Francisco Bay Chapter: 1,4-5.
- Soderberg, J. P. (1987). User Fees for Public Recreation: A Question of Equity: 12 pp.



- Spencer, T. (2001). Why a Modest Fee is Important for Protecting our Forests. Forest Magazine. **Nov/Dec**: 46-49.
- Stevens, T., More, T. and Allen, P. G. (1989). "Pricing policies for public day-use outdoor recreation facilities." Journal of Environmental Management **28**: 43-52.
- Stevens, T. H., Allen, P. G. and More, T. (1986). Benefits, Costs, Revenues and Pricing Of Public Forest Day-Use Recreation Areas. Amherst, MA, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, College of Food and Natural Resources, Massachusetts Agricultural Experiment Station: 29 pp.
- Stevenson, S. (1989). "A test of peak load pricing on senior citizen recreationists: A case study of Steamboat Lake State Park." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **7**(1): 58-68.
- Teasley, R. J., Bergstrom, J. C. and Cordell, H. K. (1993). Assessing the revenue-capture potential from recreational fees. Proceedings of the 1993 Southeastern Recreation Research Conference. H. A. Clouts. Asheville, NC, USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report SE-90: 7-17.
- Teasley, R. J., Bergstrom, J. C. and Cordell, H. K. (1994). "Estimating revenue-capture potential associated with public area recreation." Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics **19**(1): 89-101.
- Tellis, G. J. (1986). "Beyond the many faces of price: An integration of pricing strategies." Journal of Marketing **50**(October): 146-160.
- Terrell, T. J. (1998). Recreation user fees on public lands: A manager's perspective on implementing the recreation fee demo program on public lands, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Trainor, S. F. (1998). Money talks, but what does it say?: Value, use fees and the appropriateness of commodifying wilderness, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Trainor, S. F. and Norgaard, R. B. (1999). "Recreation fees in the context of wilderness values." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **17**(3): in press.
- Tyre, G. L. (1975). "Average costs of recreation on national forests in the South." Journal of Leisure Research **7**(2): 114-120.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (1987). Estimating Prices for Access to Opportunities for Hunting, Fishing, and Viewing Wildlife on Public and Private Lands: 26 pp.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) (1997). Recreation Research Update #25. Riverside, CA, Wildland Recreation and Urban Cultures, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) (1998). Recreation Research Update #26. Riverside, CA, Wildland Recreation and Urban Cultures, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station.
- U.S. Department of the Interior (National Park Service, U. S. F. a. W. S., Bureau of Land Management), and U.S. Department of Agriculture (Forest Service) (1998). Recreational Fee Demonstration Program, Progress Report to Congress: 41 pp.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, H. C. a. R. S. (1979). Fees and Charges Handbook: Guidelines for Recreation and Heritage Conservation Agencies. Washington, D.C., Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service, U.S. Department of the Interior: 77 pp.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, N. P. S. (1988). Federal Recreation Fee Report 1988, Including Federal Recreation Visitation and Fee Data. Washington, D.C.: 21 pp.
- U.S. General Accounting Office (1998a). Recreation Fees: Demonstration Fee Program Successful in Raising Revenues but Could Be Improved. Washington, D.C.: 116 pp.
- U.S. General Accounting Office (2001). Report to Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on National Parks, Historic Preservation, and Recreation, Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, U.S. Senate. Recreation Fees: Management Improvements Can Help the Demonstration Program Enhance Visitor Services.



- USDA (2001a). Forest Service- Region 6 Recreation Fee Program Activity Review. Pacific Northwest Region: 21.
- USDA (2001b). USDA Forest Service. A Collection of Comment Card Analysis.
- USDOJ & USDA (1998). Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: 1997 Progress Report to Congress.
- USDOJ & USDA (2000). Recreational Fee Demonstration Program: Progress Report to Congress Fiscal Year 1999.
- Utah Science (1993). "Fees on public lands: Not all users pay a fair share." Utah Science **54**(3): 71-74.
- Van Sickle, K. and Eagles, P. F. J. (1998). "Budgets, pricing policies and user fees in Canadian parks' tourism." Tourism Management **19**(3): 225-235.
- Viehman, J. (2001). Publisher, Backpacker Magazine. Statement to Congress: Testimony regarding the Recreation Fee Demonstration Program.
- Vogt, C. A. and Watson, A. E. (1998). Brand loyalty as indicator of fee support by Desolation Wilderness visitors, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Vogt, C. A. and Williams, D. R. (1999). "Support for wilderness recreation fees: The influence of fee purpose and day versus overnight use." Journal of Park and Recreation Administration **17**(3): in press.
- Walsh, R. G. (1986). Pricing practices and market structure. Recreation Economic Decisions: Comparing Benefits and Costs. State College, PA, Venture Publishing, Inc.: 463-553.
- Walsh, R. G., Loomis, J. B. and Gillman, R. A. (1984). "Valuing option, existence, and bequest demands for wilderness." Land Economics **60**(1): 14-29.
- Walsh, R. G., Miller, N. P. and Gilliam, L. O. (1983). "Congestion and willingness to pay for expansion of skiing capacity." Land Economics **59**(2): 195-210.
- Walsh, R. G., Peterson, G. L. and McKean, J. R. (1989). "Distribution and efficiency effects of alternative recreation funding methods." Journal of Leisure Research **21**(4): 327-347.
- Warren, B. (2001). Chairman of the National Alliance of Gateway Communities. Statement to Congress: Testimony Regarding the Fee Demonstration Program.
- Warren, R. (1986). Fees and charges. A Literature Review: The President's Commission on Americans Outdoors. Washington, D.C.: 5-13 Financing.
- Warren, R. and Rea, P. (1998). "Fee supported parks: Promoting success." Parks and Recreation **33**(1): 82-88.
- Watson, A. E. and Herath, G. (1999). "Research implications of the theme issues "Recreation Fees and Pricing Issues in the Public Sector" (Journal of Park and Recreation Administration) and "Societal Response to Recreation Fees on Public Lands" (Journal of Leisure Research)." Journal of Leisure Research **31**(3): in press.
- Watson, A. E., Puttkammer, A. and Christensen, N. (1998). Support for recreation fees as a function of purpose of appeal (increasing services versus maintaining current level of services), USDA Forest Service. **1999 August 31**.
- Watson, A. E. and Vogt, C. (1998). Wilderness visitor perceptions of obtrusiveness and their attitudes toward the agency in predicting recreation fee level support, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Watson, A. E., Williams, D. R., Vogt, C., Richer, J., Puttkammer, A., Christensen, N., Parsons, D. J. and Trainor, S. F. (1998). Providing science input to management issues: Defining and answering researchable questions - introduction to a case study of the Desolation Wilderness fee pilot program, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Watzman, N. (2001). Playground or Preserve. The Washington Monthly. **May**: 36-43.
- Wennergren, E. B. (1964). "Valuing non-market priced recreational resources." Land Economics **40**(3): 303-314.
- White, C. (1992). Legislative history of outdoor recreation fees. Recnotes, Natural Resources Research Program, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station. **R-92-3**: 1-5.



- White, C. M. (1993). The role of fees in the provision of outdoor recreation opportunities. Proceedings of the 1993 Northeastern Recreation Research Symposium. G. A. VanderStoep. Radnor, PA, USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NE-185: 36-37.
- White, C. M., Cobus, M., Manning, R. E., Seffel, J. and More, T. (1991). Trends in the economics of sustainable outdoor recreation and tourism: The future of outdoor recreation fees for the public sector. Proceedings of the Fourth International Outdoor Recreation and Tourism Trends Symposium and the 1995 National Recreation Resources Planning Conference. St. Paul, MN, University of Minnesota, College of Natural Resources and Minnesota Extension Service: 285-293.
- Whitehead, J. C. (1990). "A comment on "differential campsite pricing"." Journal of Leisure Research **22**(3): 276-279.
- Wicks, B. E. and Crompton, J. L. (1986). "Citizen and administrator perspectives of equity in the delivery of park services." Leisure Sciences **8**(4): 341-365.
- Williams, D. R., Vogt, C. A. and Vitterso, J. (1999). "Structural equation modeling of users' response to wilderness recreation fees." Journal of Leisure Research **31**(3): in press.
- Williams, D. R. and Watson, A. E. (1998). The impact of place meaning and attachment on attitudes towards fees for wilderness use, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Willis, C. E., Canavan, J. J. and Bond, R. S. (1975). "Optimal short-run pricing policies for a public campground." Journal of Leisure Research **7**(2): 108-113.
- Wilman, E. A. (1988). "Pricing policies for outdoor recreation." Land Economics **64**(3): 234-241.
- Winter, P. L., Burkhardt, R. L. and Gable, R. (1998). Assessing community impressions of a fee pilot: Findings from southern California, USDA Forest Service. **1999**.
- Winter, P. L. and Palucki, L. J. (1999). "Anticipated responses to a fee program: The key is trust." Journal of Leisure Research **31**(3): in press.
- Zalatan, A. (1984). "Pitfalls in recreation pricing." Recreation Research Review **11**(1): 38-42.
- Zauberls, L. (2001). Four Corners Back Country Horsemen Announce Opposition to Recreation Fee Demonstration Program. Back Country Horsemen of America. **12**: 6.